# Synthesis of New 6-\{ $\omega$-(Dialkylamino(heteroc yclyl)alkyl]thio\} 3-R-2 H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones and Evaluation of their Anticancer and Antimicrobial Activities 

Galina G. Berest ${ }^{1}$, Olexiy Y. Voskoboynik ${ }^{1}$, Sergiy I. Kovalenko * ${ }^{1}$, Inna S. Nosulenko ${ }^{1}$, Lyudmyla M. Antypenko ${ }^{1}$, Olexii M. Antypenko ${ }^{1}$, Volodymyr M. Shvets ${ }^{1}$, Andriy M. Katsev ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Pharmacy, Zaporozhye State Medical University, Mayakovsky ave., 26, 69035, Zaporozhye, Ukraine.<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Pharmacy, Crimean State Medical University, av. Lenina, 5/7, 95006, Simferopol, Ukraine.<br>* Corresponding author. E-mail: kovalenkosergiy@gmail.com (S. I. Kovalenko)

Sci Pharm. 2012; 80: 37-65
Published: December $23^{\text {rd }} 2011$
Accepted: December $23^{\text {rd }} 2011$
doi:10.3797/scipharm.1111-15
Received: November $20^{\text {th }} 2011$

This article is available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3797/scipharm.1111-15
© Berest et al.; licensee Österreichische Apotheker-Verlagsgesellschaft m. b. H., Vienna, Austria.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.


#### Abstract

Several novel 6-thio-3-R-2-oxo-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-based compounds containing an $\omega$-(dialkylamino(heterocyclyl)]alkyl fragment were synthesized to examine their anticancer activity. Some of the $6-\{[\omega$-(hetero-cyclyl)alkyl]thio\}-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (3.1-3.10) were obtained by the nucleophilic substitution of 6 -[ $\omega$-halogenalkyl]thio-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (2.1-2.8) with azaheterocycles. Alternatively, compounds 3.1-3.22 were synthesized by alkylation of 3-R-6-thio-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones potassium salts (1.1-1.4) with (2-chloro-ethyl)-N,N-dialkylamine hydrochlorides or 1-(2-chloroethyl)heterocycle hydrochlorides. The structures of compounds were elucidated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$, LC-MS and EI-MS analysis. Then anticancer and antibacterial, bioluminescence inhibition of Photobacterium leiognathi Sh1 activities of the substances were tested in vitro. It was found that compound 3.18 possessed a wide range of anticancer activity against 27 cell lines of cancer: non-small cell lung, colon, CNS, ovarian, renal, prostate, breast, melanoma and leukemia (log $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$


< -5.65). The "structure-activity" relationship was discussed. COMPARE analysis for synthesized anticancer active compounds was performed.
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## Introduction

The quinazoline skeleton is a heterocyclic system that can be found in many prospective anticancer drugs [1-17]. Some derivatives, notably 4-R-phenylaminoquinazolines (drugs «Iressa» (I), «Erlotinib» (II), «Vandetanib» (III) and others) are ATP-competitive irreversible inhibitors of the tyrosine-kinase epidermal growth factor receptor and others protein-kinase enzymes, which are widely used in oncological practice (Scheme 1) [3, 5]. It's important that anticancer activity of 4-R-phenylaminoquinazolines is determined by both the base heterocycle and aniline fragment in $4^{\text {th }}$ position of molecule and requires the presence of halogens, hydroxy and cyano group. To improve the pharmacokinetic properties, notably bioavailability and lipophilicity, it is advisable to introduce appropriate functional groups in $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ position of molecule. Such anintroduction of acryl- or butyn-2-amide fragments leads to the increase of metabolism and elimination and decrease of accumulation, while methoxy- and heterocyclylalkyloxy-groups are necessary for the improvement of hydrophobic interaction with the appropriate enzymes.

The variants of annelation or introduction of different heterocyclic pharmacophores to quinazoline skeleton were described recently. [18-21]. Thus, [1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline (IV) is a product of annelation of quinazoline to triazine system, and from the view of medicinal chemistry optimization is undoubtedly an optimal target for anticancer drugs design. So, it is possible to modify the above mentioned molecule at the 3rd position of triazine system, the 6th position of pyrimidine, and the 8th-11th positions of benzene ring. In previous work we've made an attempt to modify structure (IV) at the 3rd (V) and 6th (VI, VII) positions [18-22]. Hence, 3-R-6-thioxo-6,7-dihydro-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (VI) is a promising "lead-compound" to combine with dialkylamino(heterocyclyl)alkyl substituent for development of the potent chemotherapeutic agents.

## Results and discussion

## Chemistry

The known synthetic methods of $\omega$-dialkylamino(heteryl)alkylthioheterocyclic fragment formation are based on two main approaches: the first approach is the interaction of $\omega$-halogenalkylthioheteryles with the excess of secondary amines in anhydrous medium, and the second approach is the direct alkylation of heterylthiones by $\omega$-dialkylaminoalkylhalogenes or 1-( $\omega$-halogenoalkyl)heterocyclyles in organic solvents with the presence of organic or inorganic base.

Gefitinib (Iressa ${ }^{\circledR}$, I)
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=3-\left(\right.$ morphol-4-yl)propoxy, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H}$
Erlotinib (Tarceva ${ }^{\circledR}$, II)
$R^{1}=R^{2}=2$-methoxyethoxy, $R^{3}=C N, R^{4}=R^{5}=H$
Vandetanib (Caprelsa ${ }^{\circledR}$, III)
$R^{1}=\mathrm{MeO}, R^{2}=\left(1\right.$-methylpiperidin-4-yl)methoxy, $R^{3}=H, R^{4}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{F}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{MeO} \\
\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\text { Alk, Cyclyl; } \mathrm{n}=2,3
\end{gathered}
$$



Sch. 1. Structures of quinazoline-based compounds and their medicinal chemistry optimization.

Alkylation of substances 1.1-1.4 by symmetrical and asymmetrical dihalogenalkanes was investigated to fulfill the first approach. According to the LC-MS data, refluxing the substances 1.1-1.2 with 1,2-dibromoethane for 10-20 min in water-alcohol solution led to the mixture of substances 2.1, 2.2 (up to $90 \%$ ) with appropriate bisderivatives ( $4-8 \%$ ) and starting substances (up to 2-6\%). The treatment of compounds 1.3-1.4 with asymmetrical dihalogenalkanes under mentioned conditions yielded $90 \%$ of substances 2.1-2.8. When synthesis proceeded longer (up to 60 min ) in anhydrous environment (propanol-2, dioxane), that led to less of a yield (up to 60\%) with an increase in the amount of bisderivatives (up to 20-40\%). The latter effect was caused by the low solubility of potassium salts 1.1-1.4 in anhydrous solvents. It's important that substances 2.1-2.8 were easily purified by recrystallisation from ethanol or propan-2-ol.

Refluxing of the 6-[ $\omega$-halogenalkyl]thio-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (2.1-2.8) with the proper equimolar amount of heterocycles (pyrrolidine, piperidine, morpholine) in dioxane with potassium iodide, further neutralizing the obtained hydro-
chlorides by sodium hydrocarbonate and extraction of substances with chloroform effected the lessening of yields (10-20\%) of compounds 3.1-3.10 (Scheme 2). Products were quite easily and strongly oxidized in such conditions (reaction mixture became dark brown) that had a substantial influence on the purity of compounds 3.1-3.10. Replacement of solvent by ethanol or propan-2-ol and prolongation of reaction didn't influence the increasing of substances yields. It was found that the product's yields and purity could be improved by the addition of the excess heterocycles and refluxing in propan-2-ol-water mixture. Additionally, the obtained amines $3.1 \mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ could be easily extracted from reaction mixture with diethyl ether or chloroform after poured into water.

1.1 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ;$ 1.2 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph} ; 1.3 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph} ; 1.4 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPh} ; 2.1 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{n}=2$, $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Br}$;
2.2 R = Ph, $\mathrm{n}=2$, Hal = Br; 2.3 R = $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{n}=3$, $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 2.4 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=3$, $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Cl}$;
2.5 $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=3$, $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 2.6 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPh}, \mathrm{n}=3$, $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 2.7 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{n}=4, \mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Cl}$;
2.8 R = Ph, $n=4$, Hal $=C l ; 3.1 R=P h, n=2, z=0, X=C ; 3.2 R=P h, n=2, z=1, X=C$;
3.3 $R=P h, n=2, z=1, X=O ; 3.4 R=P h, n=3, z=0, X=C ; 3.5 R=P h, n=3, z=1, X=C$;
3.6 $R=P h, n=3, z=1, X=O ; 3.7 R=C H_{3}, n=4, z=1, X=C ; 3.8 R=P h, n=4, z=1, X=C$;
3.9 $R=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{n}=4, \mathrm{z}=1, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; 3.10 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=4, \mathrm{z}=1, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$

Sch. 2. Structures of quinazoline-based compounds and their medicinal chemistry optimization.

The 3-R-6-thio-6,7dihydro-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (1.1-1.4) potassium salts were alkylated with (2-chloroethyl)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dialkylamines hydrochlorides or 1-(2-chloroethyl)heterocycles hydrochlorides in the presence of triethylamine to fulfill the second approach (Scheme 3). The water-propan-2-ol reaction medium provided the higher yields of resulting products 3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22.

The individuality and structure of synthesized substances were confirmed by their $\mathrm{IR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and EI-MS data. In IR spectra the triazinoquinazoline system was characterized by: $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}=0}\left(1759-1658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{c}}\left(1520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.1449 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cs}}\left(713-604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cs}}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CN}}\left(1593-710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{CH}}\left(902-649 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. The symmetric and asymmetric stretchings of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - moiety of compounds 2.1-2.8, 3.1-3.22 were detected at $2998-2800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and deformation vibrations - at 1496-1470 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Additionally long-chain alkanes (2.3-2.8, $3.4-3.10$ ) were characterized by vibrations at $769-760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Stretching vibration of $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}}$ was characteristic for substances 2.1, 2.2, and for substances 2.3-2.8 - $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}}$ at $750-700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. C-N group of alkylamines chain was confirmed by stretching vibrations at $1395-1001 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and vibrations of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group appeared at $3070-3055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and 1662 $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ appropriate.

1.1 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 1.2 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph} ; 1.3 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph} ; 1.4 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPh} ; 3.1 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{z}=0, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{C}$;
3.2 $R=P h, n=2, z=1, X=C ; 3.3 R=P h, n=2, z=1, X=O ; 3.11 R=C_{3}, n=2, R^{1}=R^{2}=C H_{3}$;
3.12 $R=P h, n=2, R^{1}=R^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 3.13 R=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 3.14 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPh}$,
$\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 3.15 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; 3.16 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$;
3.17 $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; 3.18 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPh}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; 3.19 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$,
$\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=i-\mathrm{Pr} ; 3.20 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=i-\mathrm{Pr} ; 3.21 \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=i-\mathrm{Pr}$;
3.22 $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPh}, \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=i-\mathrm{Pr}$;

Sch. 3. Synthesis of the 6-\{[2-(heterocyclyl)ethyl]thio\}-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-one (3.1-3.3) and 6-\{[2-(dialkylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (3.11-3.22).

LC-MS spectra of substances $\mathbf{2 , 3}$ were characterized by positive ions $[\mathrm{M}+1$ ] and $[\mathrm{M}+3]$. The latter ones characterized the presence of a sulfur isotope. Furthermore, LC-MS spectra of substances 2.3-2.8 had an additional signal caused by ion $[\mathrm{M}+2]$, which confirmed the presence of a chlorine atom in the molecule.

In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 2.1-2.8, 3.1-3.22 triazinoquinazoline fragment was characterized by two one-proton triplets of $\mathrm{H}-10$ at $7.69-7.48 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{H}-9$ at $7.99-7.88 \mathrm{ppm}$ and two oneproton doublets of $\mathrm{H}-8$ at $7.86-7.68 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{H}-11$ at $8.56-8.37 \mathrm{ppm}$. In some cases proton $\mathrm{H}-10$ triplet and $\mathrm{H}-8$ doublets of triazinoquinazoline backbone overlapped (3.14, 3.15, 3.17-3.22) or mentioned protons overlaid the two-proton doublets of phenyl substituent (2.2, 3.2), forming multiplet. The $p$-substituted phenyl fragment in the 3rd position of substances 2.2, 2.4, 2.8, 3.1-3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.12, 3.16, 3.20 formed $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2^{-}}$ system and could be found as two two-proton doublets at $7.39-7.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $8.40-8.20$ ppm appropriately. Phenyl radical of substances 2.2, 2.4, 2.8, 3.1-3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.12, 3.16, 3.20 formed sub-spectra consisting of two-proton doublet ( $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-6$ ) at 8.35-8.24 ppm and three-proton multiplet ( $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ ) at $7.66-7.53 \mathrm{ppm}$. Characteristic protons of $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-group of 2.1-2.6, 3.1-3.3, 3.6, 3.11-3.22 were registered as triplet at $2.83-2.67 \mathrm{ppm}$, and for substances 3.4-3.10 - as multiplet at 3.45-2.34 ppm. For
compounds 2.1-2.6 protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Hal}$ fixed as triplet at $4.25-3.81 \mathrm{ppm}$ and for substances 2.7 and 2.8 - as multiplet at $3.75-3.74 \mathrm{ppm}$. Protons of dialkylamino and heterylcyclic fragments according to the length or branching had the proper multiplicity in the spectra.

In ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra Carbon signals in 6 th and 2 nd positions for compounds 3.2, 3.12, 3.16 and 3.20 were the most shifted ones and appeared at $159-155 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 160 ppm . Carbon of $-\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ group was the additional confirmation of structure and the reaction's S-regioselectivity resonating at 32.31-28.70 ppm.

Tab. 1. Bioluminescence intensity, \%

|  |  | Compd. | Control | Acute action test, $\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  | Chronic action test, $\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{m L}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |
| DMSO | 100.0 |  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 2.1 | 100.0 | 111.50 | 99.10 | 122.60 | 150.00 | 80.00 | 73.30 |
| 2.2 | 100.0 | 98.85 | 94.25 | 2.30 | 100.00 | 53.33 | 18.33 |
| 2.3 | 100.0 | 81.50 | 74.50 | 73.08 | 122.50 | 92.50 | 78.00 |
| 2.4 | 100.0 | 52.31 | 53.85 | 38.30 | 72.00 | 64.00 | 28.00 |
| 2.5 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 101.02 | 97.96 | 16.67 | 40.67 | 30.04 |
| 2.6 | 100.0 | 75.00 | 89.29 | 62.50 | 150.94 | 65.94 | 27.55 |
| 2.7 | 100.0 | 102.20 | 125.20 | 79.10 | 66.00 | 63.60 | 85.50 |
| 2.8 | 100.0 | 125.00 | 100.00 | 93.30 | 64.80 | 52.00 | 57.10 |
| 3.1 | 100.0 | 67.16 | 14.93 | 2.99 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.2 | 100.0 | 64.62 | 18.46 | 4.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.3 | 100.0 | 23.08 | 5.77 | 3.85 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.4 | 100.0 | 81.50 | 90.00 | 115.20 | 93.30 | 166.40 | 80.0 |
| 3.5 | 100.0 | 124.00 | 120.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 30.00 |
| 3.6 | 100.0 | 35.56 | 39.56 | 49.67 | 77.24 | 84.32 | 32.21 |
| 3.7 | 100.0 | 125.00 | 112.50 | 100.00 | 84.90 | 93.60 | 78.20 |
| 3.8 | 100.0 | 93.30 | 65.50 | 42.50 | 39.20 | 63.30 | 85.00 |
| 3.9 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 106.0 | 128.60 | 104.4 | 127.7 | 87.50 |
| 3.10 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.9 | 112.90 | 57.50 | 75.60 | 93.30 |
| 3.11 | 100.0 | 105.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 29.33 | 0.00 |
| 3.12 | 100.0 | 97.96 | 40.82 | 10.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.13 | 100.0 | 49.20 | 26.50 | 9.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.14 | 100.0 | 33.30 | 4.00 | 7.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.15 | 100.0 | 91.80 | 17.70 | 0.00 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.16 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 8.00 | 2.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.17 | 100.0 | 60.32 | 34.92 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.18 | 100.0 | 83.30 | 40.00 | 12.50 | 30.2 | 33.3 | 75.00 |
| 3.19 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 27.91 | 0.00 | 58.46 | 15.38 | 0.00 |
| 3.20 | 100.0 | 64.44 | 88.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.21 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 67.91 | 0.00 | 48.26 | 25.64 | 0.00 |
| 3.22 | 100.0 | 89.13 | 76.09 | 76.09 | 56.67 | 46.26 | 26.67 |
| Tetracycline | 100.0 | 80.70 | 9.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

EI-MS spectra of substances were characterized by the molecular ion absence due to its low stability. Formation of $\mathrm{F}_{1}\left(\left[\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right]^{+ \text {e }}\right)$ with the strong intensity in spectrum was the main direction of molecule's fragmentation (compound $3.2-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 112$ (12,2\%), $3.12-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 72 (68,2\%), $3.16-m / z 100(17,2 \%), 3.21-m / z 128$ (17.6\%). Further for $F_{1}$ rejection of one or two atoms of hydrogen and homolytic fragmentation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$-bonds with formation of stable fragments were characteristic. For 3.2 the El-MS spectrum with $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right.$-piperidyl] ${ }^{+}$ ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 111$ (100.0)\%)), for 3.12 - with [(Me) $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 58$ (100.0\%)), for 3.16 - with $\left[(\mathrm{Et})_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 99(100.0 \%))$, for 3.21 - with $\left[(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 127$ (88.8\%)), with $\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NCH}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 70(96.7 \%))$ and with $\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 43$ (100.0\%)) were demonstrated. Also, additional confirmation of structures was the formation of intensive $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CN}\right]^{+}$with $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 103$ (27.3-10.5\%) in the result of the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ bonds cleavage of triazinoquinazoline system [18-20].

## Pharmacology and structure-activity relationship

## Bioluminescence inhibition test

The results of bioluminescence research showed that the majority of compounds appeared to be toxic for bacteria Photobacterium leiognathi Sh1 (Table 1). Thus, in chronic action test the highest inhibition activity among 6 -[ $\omega$-halogenalkyl]thio-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (2.1-2.8) showed compounds 2.2, 2.4-2.6, 2.8, that had aryl substituent at 3rd position. The elongation of the alkyl fragment from ethyl (2.1, 2.2) to isopropyl (2.3-2.6) and butyl $(\mathbf{2 . 7}, \mathbf{2 . 8})$ led to decreased cytotoxicity in chronic action test. Such SAR also was characteristic for compounds 3.1-3.22. 6-[(2-Dialkylaminoethyl)thio]-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.2) had the highest biocide activity in the acute and chronic action tests. Noticeably, substances 3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22 possessed biocide activity in concentration of 0.1 and $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in the acute and chronic actions tests. It is interesting to mention that some of the synthesized compounds (3.13.3, 3.12-3.14, 3.16, 3.17, 3.20) showed effect of hormesis in chronic action test, inhibiting the intensity of bioluminescence in concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Thus, the SAR study revealed that:

1) the most cytotoxic substances against luminescent bacteria Photobacterium leiognathi strain Sh1 in acute and chronic test appeared to be compounds 3.1-3.22;
2) substances 3.1-3.22 demonstrated inhibitive activity with the increase of concentration to 0.1 and $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$;
3) cytotoxicity of $6-\{[\omega$-(heterocyclyl)alkyl]thio\}-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2ones (3.1-3.10) is determined by the length of alkyl moiety and decreases in range $\mathrm{Et}<\mathrm{Pr}<\mathrm{Bu}$;
4) replacement of heteryl fragment of compounds 3.1-3.3 by dialkylamino group (3.113.22) does not lead to change of cytotoxic activity in chronic test.

Thus, compounds with the highest cytotoxic activity appeared to be 6-[(2-dialkyl-aminoethyl)thio]-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22), that could be the indicator of potential presence of antifungal, antibacterial or anticancer activity of the mentioned compounds.

Tab. 2. Antimicrobial activity of synthesized compounds

| Compd. $^{\text {a }}$ |  | mg/mL | The inhibitory zones of the investigated compounds, $\mathbf{m m}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | E. coli | S. aureus | $\boldsymbol{M}$. Iuteum | C. tenuis | A. niger |  |
| 3.1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.2 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.3 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.3 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.4 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.5 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.6 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.7 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.8 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.9 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.10 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.11 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.12 | 5.0 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.12 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.13 | 5.0 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 0 | $6^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 3.13 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.14 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.15 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.16 | 5.0 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.16 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.17 | 5.0 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 0 | $12^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 3.17 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.18 | 5.0 | 0 | 13 | 21 | 8 | $23^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 3.18 | 1.0 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.19 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.20 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.20 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.21 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.21 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3.22 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Vancomicin | 0.1 | 16 | 18 | 58 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nystatin | 0.1 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 24 | 25 |  |
| Oxacillin | 0.1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ compounds 2.1-2.8 at concentration of 1.0 and $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ didn't inhibit investigated bacteria;
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ zone of late spore formation of Aspergillus niger (without inhibition of fungus mycelium).

## Antimicrobial and antifungal activities

The results of antimicrobial screening showed that researched substances had significant antimicrobial activity only against cereous bacteria Mycobacterium luteum (Table 2). Thus, the highest antibacterial data were established for 6-[(2-dialkylaminoethyl)thio]-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22), that inhibited growth of

Gram-positive bacteria M. Iuteum at 7-28 mm. Increasing the concentration of compounds 3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22 from 1.0 to $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ also led to considerable growth of bactericidal activity, while elongation of alkyl substituent (3.4-3.10) resulted in decreased activity and frequently appeared only in concentration of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. It is significant that researched compounds did not show bactericidal action against E. coli, St.aureus and Candida tenuis. The only compounds that had antibacterial activity against St. aureus, inhibiting its growth at $7-13 \mathrm{~mm}$ were $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}, \mathbf{3 . 1 3}, \mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ and 3.18. It is also interesting that compounds 3.13, 3.17 and 3.18 caused the late spore formation of $A$. niger at $18-23 \mathrm{~mm}$ in concentration 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.

The SAR study revealed that:

1) antimicrobial activity of researched compounds is more expressed for 6 -\{[ $\omega$-(dialkyl-amino(heterocyclyl)alkyl]thio\}- (3.1-3.22) than for corresponding ( $\omega$-halogenoalkyl)thioderivatives of 3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (2.1-2.8);
2) the main factor for antimicrobial activity demonstration for substances 2.1-2.8, 3.1-3.22 against $S$. aureus is introduction of the [2-(dialkylamino)ethyl]thio]- substituent in the 6th position, but elongation of radical up to propyl or butyl leads to its significant reduction;
3) antimicrobial activity against $M$. Iuteum is characteristic for the majority of compounds, and compounds with phenyl, thionyl or p-methoxyphenyl substituents at 3rd position have the strongest activity.

So, 6-[(2-dialkylaminoethyl)thio]-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones (3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22) had the highest antimicrobial activity against $M$. luteum, testifying the potential presence of antituberculosis activity of the mentioned compounds.

## Anticancer assay for preliminary in vitro testing

Newly synthesized compounds were selected by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Developmental Therapeutic Program for the in vitro cell line screening to investigate their anticancer activity. Compounds $3.1,3.14-3.16,3.18,3.21$ were submitted and evaluated according to the US NCI protocol [23-28]. The compounds were first evaluated at one dose primary anticancer assay toward or approximately 60 cell lines (concentration $10^{-5}$ $\mathrm{M})$. The human tumor cell lines were derived from nine different cancer types: leukemia, melanoma, lung, colon, CNS, ovarian, renal, prostate and breast cancers. In the screening protocol, each cell line was inoculated and preincubated for $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ on a microtiter plate. Test agents were then added at a single concentration and the culture was incubated for an additional 48 h . End point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, sulforhodamine B (SRB). Results for each test agent were reported as the percent growth of the treated cells when compared to the untreated control cells. The preliminary screening results are shown in Table 3.

Investigation of the compounds 3.1, 3.14-3.16, 3.18, 3.21 showed that individual cell lines had different sensitivity towards synthesized compounds in concentration $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ (Table 3). Thus, substance 3.1 exhibited cytotoxicity against cell lines of leukemia (CCRF-CEM, HL-60(TB)). Change the phenyl substituent in position 3 (3.1) by methyl led to the substantial reduction of activity against cell lines of leukemia. Synthesized compounds that had at the 3rd position p-methoxyphenyl and p-methylphenyl (3.21) group possessed
strong cytotoxicity against the majority of the cancer cell lines. It is interesting that the significant antiproliferative activity was characteristic for compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ against leukemia cell lines (K-562, MOLT-4, RPMI-8226, SR), non-small cell lung cancer (A549/ATCC, HOP-62, HOP-92, NCI-H322M, NCI-H460), colon cancer (COLO 205, HCT-116, HCT-15, HT29, KM12, SW-620), CNS cancer (SF-539, U251), melanoma (LOX IMVI, MALME-3M), ovarian cancer (IGROV1, OVCAR-3, OVCAR-4, OVCAR-8, NCI/ADR-RES), renal cancer (ACHN, RXF 393, SN12C, UO-31), prostate cancer (PC-3, DU-145) and breast cancer (MCF7, MDA-MB-231/ATCC). Furthermore, compounds 3.1, 3.14, 3.18 showed antitumor activity, notably 3.1 against leukemia cell lines (CCRF-CEM, HL-60(TB)), 3.14 - against leukemia SR, 3.18 - against leukemia SR and non-small cell lung cancer (A549/ATCC, $\mathrm{NCl}-\mathrm{H} 460$ ).

Tab. 3. Cytotoxic activity of the compounds in conc. $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ against 60 cell cancer lines

| Cpd. | Mean <br> growth, <br> \% | Range of <br> growth, $\%$ | Most sensitive cell line growth, \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Tab. 3. (Cont.)

| Cpd. | Mean growth, \% | Range of growth, \% | Most sensitive cell line growth, \% ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5.24 (K-562/L), 20.57 (MOLT-4/L), 37.58 (RPMI8226/L), -17.24 (SR/L), -38.73 (A549/ATCC/nscLC), 48.66 (HOP-62/nscLC), 40.55 (HOP-92/nscLC), 36.24 ( $\mathrm{NCl}-\mathrm{H} 322 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{nscLC}$ ), -86.89 ( $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 460 / \mathrm{nscLC}$ ), 36.93 (COLO 205/ColC), 39.88 (HCT-116/ColC), 33.86 (HCT-15/ColC), 3.67 (HT29/ColC), 32.42 (KM12/ColC), 12.48 (SW-620/ColC), 24.34 (SF- |
| 3.18 | 46.48 | -86.89-108.61 | 539/CNSC), 24.54 (U251/CNSC), 10.18 (LOX IMVI/M), 40.06 (MALME-3M/M), 25.90 (IGROV1/OV), 47.44 (OVCAR-3/OV), 42.39 (OVCAR-4/OV), 31.58 (OVCAR-8/OV), 56.28 (NCI/ADR-RES/OV), 32.69 (ACHN/RC), 55.08 (RXF 393/RC), 46.16 (SN12C/RC), 10.63 (UO-31/RC), 55.51 (PC-3/PC), 35.97 (DU145/PC), 27.57 (MCF7/BC), 56.58 (MDA-MB231/ATCC/BC) |
| 3.21 | 85.86 | -36.39-128.76 | 52.74 (HL-60(TB)/L), -36.39 (K-562/L), -25.77 (SR/L), 53.52 (NCI-H460/nscLC), 22.86 (HCT-116/ColC), 7.75 (SW-620/ColC), 10.81 (MDA-MB-435/M) |

L...leukemia; nscLC...non-small cell lung cancer; ColC...colon cancer; CNSC...CNS cancer; M...melanoma; OV ...ovarian cancer; RC...renal cancer; PC...prostate cancer; BC...breast cancer.

The dose-dependent action in 5 concentrations according to standard procedure of NCl $(100 \mu \mathrm{M}-0.01 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was researched for $3.14,3.16,3.18$. The 3 dose-dependent parameters were calculated: 1) $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ - molar concentration of the compound that inhibits $50 \%$ net cell growth; 2) TGI - molar concentration of the compound leading to total inhibition of cell growth; 3) $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ - molar concentration of the compound leading to $50 \%$ net cell death. If logarithmic data of researched parameters $\left(\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}, \log \mathrm{TGI}\right.$ та $\left.\log \mathrm{LC}_{50}\right)$ was less than -4.00 , substances were marked as active. For each of the parameters the average experimental data were calculated (mean graph midpoints, MG_MID) (Table 4).

Tab. 4. Summary of anticancer screening data at dose-dependent assay

| Comp. | $N^{\text {a }}$ | $\boldsymbol{l o g} \mathbf{G I}_{50}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{l o g}$ TGI |  |  | $\log \mathrm{LC}_{50}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N 1^{\text {b }}$ | Range | MG_MID | N2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Range | MG_MID | N3 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Range | MG_MID |
| 3.14 | 57 | -55 | $\begin{gathered} -6.07 \text { to } \\ -5.01 \end{gathered}$ | -5.48 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} -5.53 \text { to } \\ -4.02 \end{gathered}$ | -4.21 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} -5.46 \text { to } \\ -4.04 \end{gathered}$ | -4.05 |
| 3.16 | 59 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} -6.29 \text { to } \\ -5.31 \end{gathered}$ | -5.52 | 58 | $\begin{gathered} -5.44 \text { to } \\ -4.64 \end{gathered}$ | -4.89 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & -5.11 \text { to } \\ & -4.07 \end{aligned}$ | -4.32 |
| 3.18 | 59 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} -6.29 \text { to } \\ -5.31 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -5.57 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} -5.54 \text { to } \\ -4.55 \end{gathered}$ | -5.04 | 56 | $\begin{gathered} -5.24 \text { to } \\ -4.01 \end{gathered}$ | -4.51 |

[^0]The parameters of compounds activity against the most sensitive cell lines are shown in the table 5 ( $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50} \leq-5.65$ ). It is necessary to mention the selective sensitivity to cell lines of CNS cancer (SF-539, SNB-75), renal cancer (ACHN), melanoma (LOX IMVI) and renal cancer (ACHN) of compounds 3.14, 3.16 and $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$. Thus, 3.14 revealed high level of inhibition ( $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}=-6.07$ ) against cell line SNB-75 of CNS cancer (MG_MID $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}=$ -5.48 for 55 cell lines), 3.16 ( $\log \mathrm{Gl}_{50}=-6.29$ ) - against cell line A498 of renal cancer (MG_MID $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}=-5.52$ for 59 cell lines), 3.18 ( $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}=-6.20$ ) - against cell line HOP-92 of NSC lung cancer (MG_MID $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}=-5.57$ for 59 cell lines).

Tab. 5. The influence of compounds 3.14, 3.16 and 3.18 on the growth of individual tumor cell lines (log GI50 $\leq-5.65$ )


Tab. 5. (Cont.)

| Cpd. | Cancer | Cell line | log GI50 | log TGI | Log LC50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Melanoma | LOX IMVI | -5.89 | -5.28 | -4.61 |
|  |  | MALME-3M | -5.68 | -5.29 | -4.65 |
|  |  | SK-MEL-5 | -5.74 | -5.34 | -4.86 |
|  |  | UACC-257 | -5.79 | -5.51 | -5.24 |
|  |  | UACC-62 | -5.79 | -5.38 | -4.79 |
|  | Ovarian cancer | OVCAR-3 | -5.78 | -5.46 | -5.15 |
|  |  | OVCAR-4 | -5.75 | -5.33 | -4.82 |
| 3.18 |  | OVCAR-8 | -5.84 | -5.46 | -5.08 |
|  | Renal cancer | 786-0 | -5.73 | -5.33 | -4.84 |
|  |  | ACHN | -5.81 | -5.20 | -4.45 |
|  |  | RXF 393 | -5.75 | -5.34 | -4.74 |
|  | Prostate cancer | PC-3 | -5.70 | -5.01 | -4.47 |
|  | Breast cancer | MCF7 | -5.81 | -5.39 | -4.90 |
|  |  | MDA-MB-231/ATCC | -5.76 | -5.17 | -4.48 |
|  |  | MDA-MB-468 | -5.75 | -5.37 | -4.98 |

It is important to note that compound 3.14 had the highest anticancer activity against cell lines of leukemia $\left(\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}=-5.66\right)$, CNS cancer $\left(\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}=-5.60\right)$, renal cancer $\left(\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}\right.$ $=-5.60$ ); 3.16 - against cell lines of renal cancer (LogGl ${ }_{50}=-5.67$ ); 3.18 - against cell lines of colon cancer ( $\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}=-5.69$ ), CNS cancer ( $\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}=-5,59$ ), melanoma $\left(\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}=-5.62\right)$, renal cancer $\left(\operatorname{LogGI}_{50}=-5.58\right)($ Figure 1).


Fig. 1. Anticancer selectivity pattern of the most active compounds 3.14, 3.16 and 3.18.

SAR study revealed that 3-R-6-thio-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones derivatives are the class of insufficiently studied annelated quinazolines with triazines substances with promising anticancer activity. It is important that antitumor activity of compounds 3.1, 3.143.16, 3.18, 3.21 is determined by:

1) the heterocycle skeleton;
2) substituent at the 3rd position with increasing activity in the range of $\mathrm{Me}<\mathrm{Ph}<4-\mathrm{MePh}<4-\mathrm{MeOPh}$;
3) substituents at the 6th position, namely dialkylamino(heterocyclil)alkyl fragment connected by Sulphur with heterocyclic system, gaining the activity in range of Me<i-Pr<Pyr<Et. Thus, it is interesting to modify the «lead-compounds» among the [1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline derivatives for further pharmacological investigations.

## COMPARE analysis and molecular mechanism assumptions

We have also performed COMPARE analyses for all the active compounds to investigate the similarity of their cytotoxicity (mean graph fingerprints) with those of known anticancer standard agents, NCl active synthetic compounds and natural extracts, which are present in public available databases [29-32]. Such analysis is based on comparing the patterns of differential growth inhibition for cultured cell lines and can potentially gain insight into the mechanism of the cytotoxic action. It determines Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) for the degree of similarity of mean graph fingerprints obtained from in vitro anticancer screen with patterns of activity of standard agents. We performed COMPARE computations for synthesized compounds against the NCI 'Standard Agents' database at the $\mathrm{Gl}_{50}$ level (correlations PCC >0.4) (Table 6).

COMPARE analysis hypothesis precludes that the compounds 3.14, 3.16 and 3.18 might have the same mechanism of action as the agent with known action mechanism, if the data pattern of a compound correlates well with the data pattern of compounds belonging to the standard agent database. The majority of significant correlations for 3-R-6-thio-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones derivatives were found with inhibitor of topoisomerases I and II, as well as inhibition or promotion of microtubules polymerization and CTP-synthase inhibitor. These molecular targets should be considered as the first priority and be explored for the leukemia, colon, CNS, renal cancers cell lines.

## Experimental

## Chemistry

## General methods

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes and were uncorrected. The elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ ) were performed using the ELEMENTAR vario EL Cube analyzer (USA). Analyses were indicated by the symbols of the elements or functions within $\pm 0.3 \%$ of the theoretical values. IR spectra (4000-600 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) were recorded on a Bruker ALPHA FT-IR spectrometer (Bruker Bioscience, Germany) using a module for measuring attenuated total reflection (ATR). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 500 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra ( 100 MHz ): were recorded on a Varian-Mercury 400 (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) spectrometers with TMS as internal standard in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ solution. LC-MS were
recorded using chromatography / mass spectrometric system which consists of high performance liquid chromatograph «Agilent 1100 Series» (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with diode-matrix and mass-selective detector «Agilent LC/MSD SL» (atmospheric pressure chemical ionization - APCI). Electron impact mass spectra (EI-MS) were recorded on a Varian 1200 L instrument at 70 eV (Varian, USA). The purity of all obtained compounds was checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and LC-MS.

Tab. 6. COMPARE analysis of tested compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Cpd. No. | PCC | Target | Target vector NSC | Cell lines | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seed } \\ & \text { StDev } \end{aligned}$ | Target StDev | Target mechanism of action ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.14 | 0.601 | Maytansine | S153858 | 57 | 0.299 | 0.697 | inhibit or promote polymerization of microtubules |
|  | 0.556 | Vinblastine sulfate | S49842 | 56 | 0.300 | 0.587 | inhibit or promote polymerization of microtubules |
|  | 0.523 | Aclacinomycin A | S208734 | 48 | 0.238 | 0.135 | inhibiting or preventing the proliferation of neoplasms inhibitor of DNA |
|  | 0.485 | Batracylin | S320846 | 42 | 0.239 | 0.271 | topoisomerases I and II induces histone gamma-H2AX |
|  | 0.477 | Vincristine sulfate | S67574 | 57 | 0.299 | 0.647 | inhibit or promote polymerization of microtubules |
|  | 0.474 | MorpholinoADR | S354646 | 57 | 0.299 | 0.368 | inhibits the progression of the enzyme topoisomerase II |
|  | 0.438 | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzyldaunomycin | S268242 | 48 | 0.238 | 0.449 | inhibitor topoisomerase II |
| 3.16 | 0.514 | Batracylin | S320846 | 43 | 0.130 | 0.274 | inhibitor of DNA topoisomerases I and II induces histone gamma-H2AX |
|  | 0.444 | Cyclopentenyl cytosine | S5375575 | 51 | 0.122 | 0.903 | CTP synthetase inhibitor (conversion UTP to CTP) |
| 3.18 | 0.460 | Hycanthone | S142982 | 53 | 0.272 | 0.220 | inducer of nuclear immunoreactivity to antinucleoside antibodies in HeLa cells |

[^1]Substances 1.1-1.4 were synthesized according to the reported procedures [18, 21]. Other starting materials and solvents were obtained from commercially available sources and used without additional purification.

General procedure for synthesis of 6-[( $\omega$-halogenoalkyl)thio)-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino-[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-ones (2.1-2.8).
To a 0.01 M solution of 3-R-6-thio-6,7dihydro-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-one potassium salt (1.1-1.4) in 20 ml of propan-2-ol-water mixture (5:1) was added a solution of 0.01 M of 1,2-dibromoethane, 1-bromo-3-chloropropane or 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane in 10 ml of propan-2-ol. Reaction mixture was heated for $10-20 \mathrm{~min}$, cooled, precipitate was filtered and dried. Formed precipitates were recrystallized from ethanole and propan-2-ol.

6-[(2-Bromoethyl)thio]-3-methyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.1). Yield: $55.7 \%$, M.p. $196-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3106,2917,2850,2533,1727,1659,1618,1605$, 1582, 1517, 1482, 1376, 1341, 1297, 1258, 1196, 1156, 1107, 1058, 982, 960, 881, 811, 773, 750, 726, 682, 666, 615; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.36$ (s, 3H, CH ${ }_{3}$ ), $3.38\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{3}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-10\right), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.9, \mathrm{H}-8), 7.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J^{3}=7.7, J^{4}=1.4, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-11)$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 44.46$; H, 3.16; Br, 22.75; N, 15.95; S, 8.13; Found: C, 44.44; H, 3.13; $\mathrm{Br}, 22.74$; N, 15.96; S, 8.14.

6-[(2-Bromoethyl)thio]-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.2). Yield: $72.9 \%$, М.p. $192-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3096, 2948, 2922, 2861, 1644, 1623, 1606, 1572, 1538, 1494, 1475, 1439, 1373, 1347, 1298, 1281, 1256, 1234, 1178, 1134, 1114, 1080, 1047, 1030, 1002, 986, 942, 883, 872, 858, 814, 768, 756, 695, 656, 607; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=3.52$ (t, 2H, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 4.25 (t, 2H, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 7.53-7.48 (m, 4H, H-3', 5' 3-Ph, H-8, 10), 7.76-7.67 (m, 2H, H-4' 3-Ph, H-9), 8.31 (d, 2H, J=7.8, H$2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} 3-\mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.37 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 52.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.17 ; \mathrm{Br}$, 19.33; N, 13.56; S, 7.76; Found: C, 52.32; H, 3.19; Br, 19.34; N, 13.58; S, 7.78.

6-[(3-Chloropropyl)thio]-3-methyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.3). Yield: $87.5 \%$, M.p. $199-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1660, 1625, 1603, 1580, 1556, 1499, 1466, 1429, 1362, 1342, 1311, 1285, 1261, 1222, 1210, 1132, 1104, 1060, 1043, 996, 954, 884, 863, 772, 700, 686, 655, 631, 607; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.25$ (qui, 2 H , $\mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-10), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-8), 7.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-9)$, 8.46 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=321[\mathrm{M}+1], 324[\mathrm{M}+4]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 52.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.08$; CI, 11.05; N, 17.46; S, 9.99; Found: C, 52.42; H, 4.09; Cl, 11.04; N, 17.43; S, 9.98.

6-[(3-Chloropropyl)thio]-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.4). Yield: $84.9 \%$, М.p. $168-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3055, 2924, 1659, 1586, 1566, 1550, 1502, 1486, 1466, 1442, 1398, 1372, 1339, 1310, 1284, 1264, 1234, 1215, 1183, 1157, 1135, 1100, 1078, 1030, 1002, 988, 959, 939, 873, 852, 811, 764, 747, 706, 685, 667, 651, 631; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=2.27$ (qui, 2H, J=6.4, $-\mathrm{S}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 3.40 (t, 2 H , J=6.4, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$), 3.84 (t, 2H, J=6.4, $\left.-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$, 7.63-7.56 (m, 3H, H-3', 4', 5' 3-Ph), 7.68 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-10), 7.79 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.97 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.28 (d, 2H, $\mathrm{J}=7.3, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} 3-\mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.48 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=383$ [M+1], 385 [M+3], 386
[M+4]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 59.60; H, 3.95; CI, 9.26; N, 14.63; S, 8.37; Found: C, 59.60; H, 3.95; CI, 9.24; N, 14.63; S, 8.37.

6-[(3-Chloropropyl)thio]-3-(4-methylphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.5). Yield: $84.7 \%$, M.p. $179-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3245,2923,1761,1661,1626,1610,1587$, 1562, 1549, 1497, 1469, 1403, 1367, 1339, 1307, 1266, 1241, 1185, 1156, 1139, 1108, 1074, 1021, 989, 964, 940, 880, 856, 833, 771, 713, 686, 641, 627; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.28$ (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 2.42 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.42 (t, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), 7.39 (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ ), 7.68 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-10), 7.79 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.97 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.23 (d, 2H, J=7.9, H-2',6' Ph), 8.49 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, m/z = 397 [M+1], 399 [M+3], 400 [M+4]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 60.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.32 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 8.93 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.12 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.08 ;$ Found: C, 60.51; H, 4.30; CI, 8.94; N, 14.12; S, 8.06.

6-[(3-Chloropropyl)thio]-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one
(2.6). Yield: 84.8\%, M.p. $177-183^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3234, 2973, 2924, 2894, 2838, 1761, 1657, 1630, 1603, 1585, 1562, 1541, 1489, 1470, 1453, 1409, 1385, 1341, 1321, 1303, $1255,1240,1173,1140,1088,1046,988,941,908,879,853,837,809,758,715,705$, 682, 636, 623; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=2.31$ (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $3.44\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 7.06 (d, 2H, J=8.9, H-3', 5' Ph), 7.66 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-10), 7.78 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.94 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.40 (d, 2H, J=8.9, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.53 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=$ 413 [M+1], 415 [M+3], 417 [M+4]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 58.18$; H, 4.15; CI, 8.59; N, 13.57; S, 7.77; Found: C, 58.18; H, 4.15; CI, 8.58; N, 13.57; S, 7.77.

6-[(3-Chlorobutyl)thio]-3-methyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.7). Yield: $87.5 \%$, M.p. $199-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2962, 2910, 1660, 1581, 1557, 1501, 1464, 1425, 1360, 1337, 1309, 1280, 1254, 1219, 1203, 1130, 1101, 1034, 1001, 950, 882, 858, 787, 767, 700, 688, 629, 605; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.96$ (m, 4H, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2^{-}}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.66(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-10$ ), 7.77 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.96 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.49 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H11); LC-MS, $m / z=335[\mathrm{M}+1], 337[\mathrm{M}+3], 338[\mathrm{M}+4]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}$, 63.81; H, 4.52; CI, 10.59; N, 16.73; S, 9.58; Found: C, 63.81; H, 4.52; CI, 10.58; N, 16.73; S, 9.58.

6-[(3-Chlorobutyl)thio]-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (2.8). Yield: $87.5 \%$, M.p. $199-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3072, 2937, 2857, 1666, 1585, 1553, 1503, 1487, 1470, 1444, 1404, 1370, 1341, 1312, 1285, 1262, 1243, 1217, 1182, 1137, 1105, 1080, 1022, 1002, 989, 940, 879, 850, 812, 782, 767, 754, 689, 653, 614; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.63-7.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-10), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, J=7.9, H-8), 7.99 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.29 (d, 2H, J=7.3, H-2',6' Ph), 8.51 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H11); LC-MS, $m / z=397[M+1], 399[M+3], 400[M+4]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}$, 60.52; H, 4.32; CI, 8.93; N, 14.12; S, 8.08; Found: C, 60.52; H, 4.33; CI, 8.94; N, 14.13; S, 8.10 .

General procedure for synthesis of 6-[( $\omega$-dialkylamino(heterocyclyl-)alkyl)thio]-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-ones (3.1-3.22).
Method A. To a stirred suspension of 0.01 M of 6 -[ $\omega$-halogenalkyl]thio-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-one (2.1-2.4, 2.7, 2.8) in 20 ml of propan-2-ol or dioxane was added $0.03-0.04 \mathrm{M}$ of proper amine (pyrrolidine, piperidine, morpholine) and 0.01 M of potassium iodide. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 10 h . After cooling the resulting mixture was poured in water, substances 3.1-3.10 were extracted by diethyl ether or chloroform. Organic solvent was removed. Formed precipitates were recrystallized from ethanol.

Method B. To a stirred suspension of 0.01 M of potassium salt of 3-R-6-thio- 2 H -[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-one (2.1-2.6) in 20 ml mixture of propan-2-ol-water (5:1) or propan-2-ol was added $0.17 \mathrm{ml}(0.001 \mathrm{M})$ of triethylamine and 0.01 M of (2-chloroethyl)- $N, N$-dialkylamine hydrochloride or of 1-(2-chloroethyl)heterocycle hydrochloride. The resulting mixture was refluxed for $30-90 \mathrm{~min}$. Solution was cooled, poured in water, obtained compounds 3.1-3.3, 3.11-3.22 were extracted by diethyl ether or chloroform. Organic solvent was removed. Formed precipitates were recrystallized from ethanol and dried.

3-Phenyl-6-[(2-pyrrolidin-1-ylethyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one Yield: Method A, $30.6 \%$; Method B, $70.6 \%$; M.p. $172-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2955, 2930, 2874, 2798, 1730, 1662, 1587, 1564, 1550, 1504, 1485, 1469, 1455, 1372, 1341, 1309, 1285, 1266, 1244, 1232, 1180, 1138, 1110, 1078, 1031, 1002, 987, 939, 903, 880, 852, 810, 788, 778, 750, 686, 654, 623; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.70$ (qui, 4 H , $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.49$ (qui, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$, $3.41(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, J=6.9, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-), $7.65-7.57$ (m, 3H, H-3', $4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.64 (t, 1H, J=7.3, H-10), 7.73 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.96 (t, 1H, J=7.3, H-9), 8.24 (d, 2H, J=7.3, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.44 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H11); LC-MS, $m / z=404[\mathrm{M}+1], 406[\mathrm{M}+3]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 65.49$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.75$; N, 17.36; S, 7.95; Found: C, 65.49; H, 5.75; N, 17.36; S, 7.95.

3-Phenyl-6-[(2-piperidine-1-ylethyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one Yield: Method A, 34.3\%, Method B, $74.3 \%$; M.p. $150-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3055, 2955, 2929, 2850, 2800, 2777, 2754, 2737, 2690, 2667, 2354, 1759, 1729, 1651, 1610, 1583, 1547, 1496, 1483, 1465, 1453, 1444, 1377, 1339, 1322, 1307, 1277, 1258, 1239, 1212, 1182, $1165,1146,1135,1124,1105,1079,1042,1031,1021,1000,986,957,940,909,892$, 876, 849, 813, 788, 779, 769, 750, 706, 688, 652, 613; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.40$ (qui, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.53$ (qui, $\left.4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.48(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.66-7.56$ (m, 4H, H-3', 4', 5' Ph, H-10), 7.70 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.94 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.25 (d, 2H, J=7.3, 2', 6' Ph), 8.44 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 100 MHz ): $\delta=24.53$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right)$, $26.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right)$, $28.70\left(-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 54.27\left(-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\underline{C H}_{2}-\right), 57.29$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right), 118.07$ (8), 107.35 (11a), 126.04 (10), 127.87 (11), 129.89 (3', $\left.5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 129.81 ( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 131.94 ( $4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}, 11$ ), 132.15 ( $1^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 136.02 (9), 144.24 (3), 149.56 (11b), 151.02 (7a), 155.49 (2), 160.00 (6); El-MS, $m / z\left(I_{\text {rel }} \%\right)=170$ (5.6), 112 (12.2), 111 (100.0), 103 (10.5), 99 (12.1), 98 (68.8), 96 (21.3), 83 (9.8), 76 (5.5), 70 (8.5), 69 (7.8), 56 (8.2), 55 (14.7), 44 (5.6), 42 (8.4), 41 (8.0); LC-MS, $m / z=418[\mathrm{M}+1], 420$ [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 66.16$; H, 5.55 ; N, 16.77; S, 7.68; Found: C, 66.18; H, 5.57; N, 16.79; S, 7.69.

6-[(2-Morpholin-4-ylethyl)thio]-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one Yield: Method A, 36.0\%, Method B, 56.0\%; M.p. $212-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3070, 2956, 2922, 2855, 2800, 2763, 2737, 2700, 2661, 1727, 1662, 1614, 1582, 1551, 1504, 1482, 1468, 1454, 1376, 1337, 1281, 1258, 1194, 1134, 1115, 1070, 1057, 1041, 1002, 953, 918, 894, 867, 852, 811, 771, 750, 702, 682, 665, 629, 613; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.17$ (qui, $4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.75 (t, 2H, J=6.8, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 3.09 (qui, $4 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.61$ (t, 2H, J=6.8, -S-CH2-), 7.62-7.54 (m, 4H, H-3', 4', 5' Ph, H-10), 7.78 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.97 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.33 (d, 2H, J=7.3, 2', 6' Ph), 8.49 (d, 1H, $\mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-11$ ); LC-MS, $m / z=420[\mathrm{M}+1], 422$ [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 62.99$; H, 5.05; N, 16.69; S, 7.64; Found: C, 62.99; H, 5.05; N, 16.69; S, 7.64.

3-Phenyl-6-[(2-pyrrolidin-1-ylpropyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one
Yield: Method A, 33.9\%; M.p. 146-148 ${ }^{\circ}$ C; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3056,2998,2950,2929,2865,2662$, 2563, 2479, 2352, 2318, 1730, 1665, 1586, 1563, 1553, 1504, 1487, 1469, 1443, 1371, 1341, 1312, 1283, 1266, 1242, 1187, 1156, 1135, 1104, 1080, 1022, 1002, 988, 941, 850, 812, 779, 751, 689, 668, 654, 623; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d 6, ~ T M S): ~ \delta=2.03 ~(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.60-7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$, $\mathrm{H}-10$ ), 7.86 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.96 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.34 (d, 2H, J=7.3, H-2', 6' Ph), 8.56 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, m/z = $418[\mathrm{M}+1], 420[\mathrm{M}+3]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 66.16$; H, 5.55; N, 16.77; S, 7.68; Found: C, 66.18; H, 5.58; N, 16.78; S, 7.69.

3-Phenyl-6-[(2-piperidine-1-ylpropyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one
Yield: Method A, 55.4\%; M.p. 151-152${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2955, 2930, 2874, 2798, 1730, 1662, 1587, 1564, 1550, 1504, 1485, 1469, 1455, 1372, 1341, 1309, 1285, 1266, 1244, 1232, 1180, 1138, 1110, 1078, 1031, 1002, 987, 939, 903, 880, 852, 810, 788, 778, 750, 686, 654, 623; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.33$ (m, 2H, $-\mathrm{S}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 2.41 ( m , $\left.6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.62-7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{H}-10\right), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-8), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.7, H-9$ ), 8.35 (d, 2H, J=7.3, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.51 ( $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-11$ ); LC-MS, $m / z=432$ $[\mathrm{M}+1], 434[\mathrm{M}+2]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 66.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.23 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.43$; Found: C, 66.82; H, 5.88; N, 16.23; S, 7.44.

6-[(2-Morpholin-4-ylpropyl)thio]-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.6). Yield: Method A, $50.7 \%$; M.p. $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3055,2954,2925,2853,1661,1589$, 1566, 1550, 1502, 1486, 1467, 1442, 1399, 1372, 1340, 1310, 1283, 1264, 1234, 1217, 1180, 1159, 1135, 1117, 1080, 1031, 1021, 1003, 989, 960, 939, 872, 855, 811, 764, 749, 706, 685, 667, 651, 632, 613; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.31$ (qui, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$, $3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-{ }^{-}\right.$), 7.59-7.53 (m, 3H, H-3', $4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ Ph), 7.66 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-10), 7.76 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.94 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.34 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.55 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=434[\mathrm{M}+1], 435[\mathrm{M}+2]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 63.72; H, 5.35; N, 16.15; S, 7.40; Found: C, 63.75; H, 5.36; N, 16.16; S, 7.41.

3-Methyl-6-[(2-piperidine-1-ylbutyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.7). Yield: Method A, 56.9\%; M.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3502, 2916, 2851, 2806, 2768, 1662, 1625, 1601, 1581, 1558, 1503, 1466, 1429, 1362, 1339, 1284, 1260, 1222, 1157, 1132,

1105, 1042, 953, 874, 768, 686, 630, 608; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.43$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 1.54 \quad\left(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), \quad 1.66 \quad(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 4 \mathrm{H}, \quad-$ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.81$ (qui, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 1.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.34$ (m, 2H, -S- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.29$ (qui, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-$ 10), 7.73 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.91 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.52 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 62.64$; H, 6.57; N, 18.26; S, 8.36; Found: C, 62.65; H, 6.58; N, 18.25; S, 8.38.

3-Phenyl-6-[(2-piperidine-1-ylbutyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one
Yield: Method A, 60.3\%; M.p. 278-280 ${ }^{\circ}$ C; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3068,2936,1665,1583,1552,1502$, 1486, 1468, 1442, 1402, 1371, 1340, 1311, 1283, 1261, 1237, 1181, 1156, 1136, 1103, 1079, 1031, 1021, 1001, 987, 939, 878, 849, 811, 783, 766, 752, 721, 687, 652, 613; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 1.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.85$ (qui, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.34$ (qui, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.65(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-10$ ), 7.76 ( $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 7.94 (t, 1H, J=7.7, $\mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.31 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.55 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-11$ ); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 67.39$; H, 6.11; N, 15.72; S, 7.20; Found: C, 67.36; H, 6.12; N, 15.73; S, 7.24 .

3-Methyl-6-[(2-morpholin-4-ylbutyl)thio]-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one
(3.9). Yield: Method A, 56.9\%; M.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2961, 2911, 2856, 1662, 1625, 1599, 1582, 1559, 1502, 1466, 1429, 1405, 1361, 1339, 1311, 1284, 1258, 1222, 1208, 1132, 1042, 1002, 953, 874, 861, 767, 701, 686, 630, 606; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\right), 2.45-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3},-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.69-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}-10$ ), 7.73 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.92 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.53 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 59.20; H, 6.01; N, 18.17; S, 8.32; Found: C, 59.22; H, 6.03; N, 18.19; S, 8.33.

6-[(2-Morpholin-4-ylbutyl)thio]-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one
(3.10). Yield: Method A, 51.4\%; M.p. 278-280C; IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3058, 3005, 2953, 2914, 2852, 1664, 1587, 1559, 1502, 1486, 1467, 1444, 1370, 1339, 1312, 1283, 1264, 1241, 1229, 1184, 1162, 1135, 1120, 1104, 1080, 1047, 1032, 1021, 1002, 987, 940, 887, 874, 852, 812, 786, 752, 706, 688, 666, 653, 613; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.00$ (m, 4H, -$\left.\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\right)$, 2.40-2.33 (m, 2H, $\left.-\mathrm{S}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.35$ ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 7.60-7.52 (m, 3H, H-3', $\left.4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.66$ (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-10), 7.78 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.95 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.34 (d, 2H, J=7.3, $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.55 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 64.41; H, 5.63; N , 15.65; S, 7.16; Found: C, 64.41; H, 5.62; N, 15.63; S, 7.18.

6-\{[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-methyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.11). Yield: Method B, 78.9\%, M.p. 144-146º ; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3410, 3354, 3293, 3066, 2977, 2947, 2917, 2855, 2817, 2762, 2714, 2392, 1666, 1623, 1600, 1581, 1557, 1504, 1481, 1465, $1428,1376,1363,1346,1312,1287,1262,1223,1206,1161,1133,1056,1043,1015$, 953, 885, 850, 771, 738, 686, 630, 606; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.31$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.79\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9$, $-\mathrm{S}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 7.65 (t, 1H, J=7.1, H-10), 7.74 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.95 (t, 1H, J=7.1, H-9), 8.45 (d,
$1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9, \mathrm{H}-11$ ); LC-MS, $m / z=316[\mathrm{M}+1], 318[\mathrm{M}+3]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 57.12; H, 5.43; N, 22.20; S, 10.17; Found: C, 57.13; H, 5.43; N, 22.21; S, 10.18.

6-\{[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.12). Yield: Method B, $92.7 \%$, M.p. $104-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3058,2974,2916,2849,2812,2757$, 2723, 1669, 1658, 1582, 1555, 1503, 1487, 1464, 1456, 1444, 1373, 1337, 1313, 1295, 1282, 1264, 1236, 1212, 1180, 1160, 1135, 1101, 1078, 1056, 1042, 1019, 1000, 986, 961, 939, 901, 876, 849, 811, 767, 750, 688, 650, 621; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.41(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9\right.$, $-\mathrm{S}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 7.64-7.59 (m, 3H, H-3', $\left.4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.67$ (t, 1H, J=7.3, H-10), 7.74 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-8), 7.96 (t, 1H, J=7.3, H-9), 8.26 (d, 2H, J=7.3, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.42 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (100 MHz): $\delta=29.44\left(-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{2}\right), 45.38\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right), 57.64\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 118.13$ (11a), 126.03 (8), 126.82 (10), 127.87 (11), 128.85 ( $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 129.77 ( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 131.93 ( $4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 132.15 (1' Ph), 136.03 (9), 144.24 (3), 149.57 (11b), 151.03 (7a), 155.58 (6), 160.01 (2); El-MS, m/z ( $\mathrm{I}_{\text {rel }}, \%$ ) 170 (5.8), 103 (27.3), 102 (13.0), 90 (7.5), 77 (5.0), 76 (17.1), 72 (68.2), 71 (36.8), 70 (28.9), 63 (8.2), 59 (22.8), 58 (100.0), 56 (30.7), 44 (7.8), 43 (18.1), 42 (23.7); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 63.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.07 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.55 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.49$; Found: C, 63.66; H, 5.07; N, 18.56; S, 8.51.

6-\{[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-(4-methylphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2one (3.13). Yield: Method B, $51.0 \%$, M.p. $130-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2942,2917,2849,2806$, 2776, 2756, 2728, 1664, 1608, 1584, 1561, 1547, 1513, 1495, 1467, 1372, 1338, 1319, 1308, 1281, 1267, 1239, 1184, 1161, 1135, 1105, 1068, 1042, 1018, 985, 962, 939, 898, 830, 769, 712, 700, 684, 625; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=2.28$ (s, 6H, $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{H}_{3}\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$, 7.38 (d, 2H, J=7.7, H-3, '4' Ph), 7.65 (t, 1H, J=7.3, H-10), 7.72 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H=8), 7.94 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.20 (d, 2H, J=7.7, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.45 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=$ 392 [M+1], 394 [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 64.43; H, 5.41; N, 17.89; S, 8.19; Found: C, 64.43; H, 5.42; N, 17.81; S, 8.21.

6-\{[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2one (3.14). Yield: Method B, $68.7 \%$, M.p. $158-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3013,2969,2941,2824$, 2777, 2705, 1666, 1603, 1580, 1557, 1538, 1513, 1494, 1465, 1418, 1368, 1337, 1318, 1304, 1264, 1234, 1171, 1138, 1103, 1066, 1051, 1018, 985, 952, 937, 889, 831, 799, 769, 722, 702, 683, 668, 640, 621; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6$, TMS): $\delta=2.32$ (s, 6H, $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H-3,' 4' Ph), 7.77-7.60 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), 7.93 (t, 1H, H-9), 8.35 (d, 2H, H-2', 6' Ph), 8.47 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ); LC-MS, $m / z=408[\mathrm{M}+1], 410$ [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 61.90$; H , 5.19; N, 17.19; S, 7.87; Found: C, 61.91; H, 5.19; N, 17.20; S, 7.88.

6-\{[2-(Diethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-methyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.15). Yield: Method B, 58.2\%, M.p. 120-122${ }^{\circ}$ C; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2968, 2919, 2806, 1663, 1624, 1600, 1580, 1555, 1502, 1463, 1425, 1374, 1359, 1340, 1312, 1282, 1258, 1218, 1208, 1193, 1132, 1069, 1042, 1027, 952, 906, 882, 859, 764, 739, 728, 700, 685, 663, 630, 607; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.04$ (t, 6H, J=6.9, -N(CH2-CH3 $)_{2}, 2.36$ (s, 3H, CH3), 2.61 (qui, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{2}=13.9, J^{3}=6.2,-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.31(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $7.70-7.61$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,10$ ), 7.95 (t, 1H, J=7.1, H-9), 8.43 (d, 1H, $J=7.9, \mathrm{H}-11$ ); LC-MS, $m / z=344[\mathrm{M}+1], 346$ [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 59.45$; H, 6.16; N, 20.39; S, 9.34; Found: C, 59.45; H, 6.16; N, 20.40; S, 9.36.

6-\{[2-(Diethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one Yield: Method B, $67.8 \%$, M.p. $118-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3058,2963,2926,2868,2813,1659$, 1587, 1556, 1505, 1488, 1469, 1445, 1402, 1372, 1339, 1317, 1284, 1269, 1245, 1206, 1188, 1164, 1138, 1106, 1070, 1021, 1002, 987, 940, 851, 813, 778, 768, 754, 689, 652, 623, 613; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.05\left(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} 2.62\right.$ (qui, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{2}=14.3, J^{3}=7.1,-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,-\mathrm{S}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.34(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, J=7.1, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $7.63-7.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{3}=7.5, \mathrm{H}-10\right), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.9, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), $7.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{3}=7.1, \mathrm{~J}^{4}=1.6, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.9, \quad \mathrm{H}-11) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=12.56 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right), 28.89 \quad(-\mathrm{S} \underset{\mathrm{CH}}{2}), 46.91$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right), 51.36$ ( $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-$ ), 118.27 (11a), 126.14 (8), 126.71 (10), 127.90 (11), 128.91 ( $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 129.79 ( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 131.97 (4' Ph), 132.22 ( $1^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 136.08 (9), 144.34 (3), 149.54 (11b), 151.14 (7a), 155.55 (6), 160.10 (2); LC-MS, $m / z=406[M+1], 408[M+3]$; El-MS, m/z (I $\left.\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{el}}, \%\right)=103(18,5), 102(6,0), 100(17,2), 99(100,0), 86(13,1), 76(9,9), 72$ $(6,2), 71(35,0), 70(13,5), 44(6,9), 42(9,1)$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 65.16 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.72; N, 17.27; S, 7.91; Found: C, 65.14; H, 5.72; N, 17.25; S, 7.89.

6-\{[2-(Diethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-(4-methylphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.17). Yield: Method B, $84.0 \%$, M.p. $97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3061, 3035, 2962, 2918, 2825, 2784, 1658, 1611, 1588, 1562, 1546, 1497, 1469, 1371, 1339, 1322, 1305, 1268, 1239, 1204, 1182, 1162, 1136, 1107, 1084, 1067, 1035, 1020, 989, 960, 940, 875, 853, 831, 808, 771, 720, 704, 683, 641, 626; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6, \mathrm{TMS}): \delta=1.05$ (t, 6H, $J=7.1,-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.62$ (qui, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{2}=14.3, \mathrm{~J}^{3}=7.1,-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.83$ (t, 2H, J=6.9, $\left.-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.32\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), 7.37 (d, 2H, J=7.7, H-3', $4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.70-7.63 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), $7.95\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{3}=7.3, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 8.45$ (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=420[M+1], 422[M+3]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 65.85; H, 6.01; N, 16.69; S, 7.64; Found: C, 65.85; H, 6.01; N, 16.69; S, 7.64.

6-\{[2-(Diethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2one (3.18). Yield: Method B, $68.8 \%$, M.p. $130-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2967,2931,2873,2836$, 2801, 1662, 1604, 1586, 1562, 1545, 1513, 1495, 1463, 1421, 1371, 1340, 1320, 1305, 1286, 1271, 1255, 1239, 1175, 1138, 1108, 1069, 1018, 988, 940, 838, 810, 798, 769, 722, 702, 684, 637, 624; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.05$ (t, 6H, J=7.1, -$\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.61$ (qui, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{2}=14.3, J^{3}=7.1,-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 3.32 (t, 2H, J=6.9, $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 3.86 (c, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 7.12 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.7, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.71-7.62 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), $7.95\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{3}=7.3, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.7, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 8.44$ (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 63.43$; H, 5.79; N, 16.68; S, 7.36; Found: C, 63.43; H, 5.79; N, 16.68; S, 7.36.

6-\{[2-(Diisopropylamino)ethyl]thio\}3-methyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.19). Yield: Method B, $56.5 \%$, M.p. $96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2960, 2921, 2870, 2815, 1664, 1606, 1581, 1556, 1504, 1465, 1432, 1391, 1363, 1337, 1307, 1284, 1260, 1206, 1158, 1132, 1116, 1036, 953, 885, 859, 770, 695, 685, 628; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,-\mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9\right.$, $-\mathrm{S}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.09$ (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{2}=12.5, J^{3}=6.4,-\mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}, 3.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)\right.$, 7.76-7.61 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), 7.94 (t, 1H, J=7.1, H-9), 8.42 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, m/z $=372[\mathrm{M}+1], 374[\mathrm{M}+3]$; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 61.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.85 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.63$; Found: C, 61.40; H, 6.78; N, 18.83; S, 8.60.

6-\{[2-(Diisopropylamino)ethyl]thio\}3-phenyl-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.20). Yield: Method B, $80.7 \%$, M.p. $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3094, 3053, 2964, 2925, 2870, 2777, 1659, 1591, 1554, 1503, 1485, 1470, 1401, 1378, 1339, 1321, 1311, 1284, 1262, 1238, 1202, 1184, 1158, 1139, 1114, 1086, 1048, 1029, 1002, 986, 963, 939, 889, 871, 850, 811, 781, 773, 750, 727, 703, 688, 652, 614; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, TMS): $\delta=1.05$ (d, 12H, J=6.4, $-\mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.11$ (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{2}=12.5, \mathrm{~J}^{3}=6.4,-\mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}, 3.26\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.64-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\right.$ $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.73-7.67 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), $7.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}^{3}=7.1, \mathrm{~J}^{4}=1.6, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.9, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.49 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=21.42$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right), 32.31\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 44.51 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right), 48.84 \quad\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 66.86$ ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right)$, 118.33 (11a), 126.16 (8), 126.58 (10), 127.88 (11), 128.90 (3', 5' Ph), 129.77 (2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 132.00 ( $4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 132.21 ( $1^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 136.10 (9), 144.37 (3), 149.42 (11b), 151.10 (7a), 159.49 (6), 160.08 (2); LC-MS, $m / z=434[\mathrm{M}+1], 436$ [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 66.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.28$; N, 16.15; S, 7.40; Found: C, 66.50; H, 6.29; N, 16.16; S, 7.41.

6-\{[2-(Diisopropylamino)ethyl]thio\}3-(4-methylphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2one (3.21). Yield: Method B, $53.0 \%$, M.p. $141-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2958,2925,2870,1665$, 1609, 1589, 1562, 1547, 1498, 1467, 1407, 1394, 1382, 1359, 1339, 1320, 1306, 1279, 1266, 1237, 1202, 1183, 1158, 1133, 1106, 1070, 1051, 1038,1021, 984, 962, 938, 884, 867, 854, 833, 771, 756, 712, 699, 685, 679, 640, 625, 603; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSOd6, TMS): $\delta=1.07$ (d, 12H, J=6.0, $-\mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9$, -$\left.\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$, 3.11 (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$, 3.25 (t, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 7.37$ (d, 2 H , $\mathrm{J}=7.7, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.70-7.64 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), 7.97 (t, 1H, J=7.3, H-9), 8.23 (d, 2H, J=7.7, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.47 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); El-MS, m/z (I $\mathrm{I}_{\text {rel }}$, \%) $=438$ (2.0), 230 (7.7), 161 (13.1), 134 (5.6), 133 (5.7), 131 (6.6), 130 (8.8), 129 (18.0), 128 (17.6), 127 (88.8), 104 (7.4), 103 (13.4), 102 (23.9), 99 (16.6), 98 (29.5), 97 (23.9), 96 (6.3), 95 (9.7), 75 (6.9), 73 (11.1), 72 (70.8), 71 (49.8), 70 (96.7), 69 (67.5), 68 (19.5), 67 (21.8), 65 (11.6), 64 (8.3), 63 (10.3), 44 (20.2), 43 (100.0), 42 (38.2), 41 (93.4), 40 (24.4); LC-MS, $m / z=448[M+1]$, 450 [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 67.09; H, 6.53; N, 15.65; S, 7.16; Found: C, 67.06; H, 6.53; N, 15.63; S, 7.14.

6-\{[2-(Diisopropylamino)ethyl]thio\}3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.22). Yield: Method B, $75.5 \%$, M.p. $148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3014, 2959, 2929, 2866, 1665, 1603, 1588, 1563, 1546, 1515, 1500, 1465, 1428, 1392, 1358, 1340, 1319, 1301, 1283, 1270, 1257, 1238, 1206, 1170, 1157, 1133, 1112, 1051, 1018, 1011, 985, 963, 938, 884, 838, 810, 799, 754, 723, 699, 681, 634, 623; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , DMSOd6, TMS): $\delta=1.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,-\mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}_{2}-\right), 3.12\right.$ (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.12$ (d, 2 H , J=8.7, H-3', $4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.72-7.64 (m, 2H, H-8, 10), 7.96 (t, 1H, J=7.7, H-9), 8.37 (d, 2H, J=8.7, $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 8.47 (d, 1H, J=7.9, H-11); LC-MS, $m / z=464[\mathrm{M}+1], 466$ [M+3]; Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 63.77; H, 6.31; N, 15.11; S, 6.92; Found: C, 63.77; H, 6.31; N, 15.11; S, 6.92.

## Pharmacology

## Bioluminescence inhibition test

The marine luminescent bacteria Photobacterium leiognathi strain Sh1, isolated from the Azov Sea Shrimp, were used for the bioluminescence analysis [33]. Bacteria were
cultivated in a nutrient environment containing ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ): pepton -5 , yeast extract -1.5 , meat extract -1.5 , sodium chloride $-30, \mathrm{pH}=7.4$. In the acute action test (inhibiting luminescence of bacteria), bacteria were diluted with the $3 \%$ sodium chloride solution down to a concentration of $10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. $5-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of the studied substances suspended in DMSO were mixed with 1 mL of the diluted bacterial suspension. Vials were incubated for 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then, the intensity of bioluminescence was measured in percent (\%) relative to the controls, which were prepared without the studied compounds. In the chronic action test (inhibiting growth and luminescence of bacteria), growth medium was added for potential breeding in a ratio of 1:50 and the mix was incubated for 16-18 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereupon the intensity of bioluminescence was measured the same way as in acute action testing. Tetracycline was used as a reference. The bacterial luminescence was measured with a Bioluminometer BLM-8801 («Science», Krasnoyarsk, Russia).

## Antimicrobial and antifungal test

The investigation of antimicrobial and antifungal activity of compounds 2.1-2.8 and 3.13.22 was carried out with the stiff plate agar diffusion method against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Mycobacterium luteum, Candida tenuis and Aspergillus niger. The amount of microbial cells was 109 c.f.u./mL. Incubation period of bacteria was 24 h at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yeast -48 to 72 h at $28-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Antibiotics vancomicin, oxacillin, nystatin were used as standards. The bacterial cultures, standards and the obtained substances were streaked across grooves at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ concentration, and then allowed to diffuse in the agar nutrient plate. The antimicrobial effect and degree of activity of the tested compounds were evaluated by measuring of the inhibition zone diameters (low sensitive: 11-15 mm; sensitive: $16-25 \mathrm{~mm}$; highly sensitive $>25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). All experiments were repeated three times.

## Cytotoxic activity against malignant human tumor cells

Primary anticancer assay was performed at human tumor cell lines panel derived from nine neoplastic diseases, in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda [24-29]. Tested compounds were added to the culture at a single concentration ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ) and the cultures were incubated for 48 h . End point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, sulforhodamine B (SRB). Results for each tested compound were reported as the percent of growth of the treated cells when compared to the untreated control cells. The percentage growth was evaluated spectrophotometrically versus controls not treated with test agents. The cytotoxic and/or growth inhibitory effects of the most active selected compounds were tested in vitro against the full panel of about 60 human tumor cell lines at 10 -fold dilutions of five concentrations ranging from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$. A $48-\mathrm{h}$ continuous drug exposure protocol was followed and an SRB protein assay was used to estimate cell viability or growth. Using the seven absorbance measurements [time zero, $\left(T_{z}\right)$, control growth in the absence of drug (C), and test growth in the presence of drug at the five concentration levels $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ ], the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentrations levels. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated as:

$$
\frac{T_{i}-T_{z}}{C-T_{z}} \times 100
$$

for concentrations for which $T_{i} \geq T_{z}$,

$$
\frac{T_{i}-T_{z}}{T_{z}} \times 100
$$

for concentrations for which $T_{i}<T_{z}$.
Three dose response parameters were calculated for each compound. Growth inhibition of $50 \%\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ was calculated from $\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}^{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \times 100=50$, which is the drug concentration resulting in a 50\% lower net protein increase in the treated cells (measured by SRB staining) as compared to the net protein increase seen in the control cells. The drug concentration resulting in total growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated from $T_{i}=T_{z}$. The $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ (concentration of drug resulting in a $50 \%$ reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment as compared to that at the beginning) indicating a net loss of cells following treatment was calculated from $\left[\left(T_{i}-T_{z}\right) / T_{z}\right] \times 100=-50$. Values were calculated for each of these three parameters if the level of activity was reached; however, if the effect was not reached or was exceeded, the value for that parameter was expressed as greater or less than the maximum or minimum concentration tested. The $\log \mathrm{Gl}_{50}$, $\log$ $\mathrm{TGI}, \log \mathrm{LC}_{50}$ were then determined, defined as the mean of the log's of the individual $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$, TGI, LC 50 values. The lowest values were obtained

## Conclusion

In the present paper, 22 new 6-\{[ $\omega$-(dialkylamino(heterocyclyl)alkyl]thio\}-3-R-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazoline-2-ones were described. Six of the synthesized compounds were tested for anticancer activity and most of them inhibited the growth of the leukemia, melanoma, lung, colon, CNS, ovarian, renal, prostate and breast cancers cell lines. In conclusion, these preliminary results allowed identification of the most active compounds 3.14, 3.16 and 3.18. The latter 6-\{[2-(diethylamino)ethyl]thio\}-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-[1,2,4]triazino[2,3-c]quinazolin-2-one (3.18) could be prospective antitumor agent (log $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=-5,69$ and $\log \mathrm{TGI}=-5.14$ ) with the selective influence on the colon cancer cell lines. The obtained results prove the necessity for further investigations to clarify the features underlying the antitumor potential of the tested compounds.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} N$ - number of human tumor cell lines tested at the 2nd stage assay;
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ N1, N2, N3 - number of sensitive cell lines, against which the compound possessed considerable growth inhibition according to mentioned parameter ( $\log$ GI50, $\log$ TGI and $\log \mathrm{LC} 50 \leq 4.00$ ).

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Only correlations with PCC $\geq 0.4$ were selected, as significant;
    ${ }^{b}$ Putative mechanisms of action were identified with the use of literature sources.

