

International Science Group

ISG-KONF.COM

Shaw)

XIX INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL CONFERENCE "MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE"

Vancouver, Canada May 17 - 20, 2022

ISBN 979-8-88680-827-8 DOI 10.46299/ISG.2022.1.19

MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE

Proceedings of the XIX International Scientific and Practical Conference

Vancouver, Canada May 17 – 20, 2022

MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE

UDC 01.1

The XIX International Scientific and Practical Conference «Modern problems in science», May 17 - 20, 2022, Vancouver, Canada. 918 p.

ISBN - 979-8-88680-827-8 DOI - 10.46299/ISG.2022.1.19

EDITORIAL BOARD

	Professor of the Department of Criminal Law and Criminology				
<u>Pluzhnik Elena</u>	Odessa State University of Internal Affairs Candidate of Law,				
	Associate Professor				
	Scientific and Research Institute of Providing Legal Framework for				
Liubchych Anna	the Innovative Development National Academy of Law Sciences of				
	Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine, Scientific secretary of Institute				
	Department of Accounting and Auditing Kharkiv				
<u>Liudmyla Polyvana</u>	National Technical University of Agriculture named after Petr				
	Vasilenko, Ukraine				
	Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor of				
Mushenyk Iryna	Mathematical Disciplines, Informatics and Modeling. Podolsk State				
<u></u>	Agrarian Technical University				
01-11V11	Dnipropetrovsk State University of Internal Affairs				
<u>Oleksandra Kovalevska</u>	Dnipro, Ukraine				
Den dira Lin descrita	Odessa State University of Internal Affairs,				
<u>Prudka Liudmyla</u>	Associate Professor of Criminology and Psychology Department				
Clabler: Hannadii	Doctor of Medical Sciences, Head of the Department of Health				
<u>Slabkyi Hennadii</u>	Sciences, Uzhhorod National University.				
Manahanka Duurtua	PhD, Associate Professor, Lecturer, Deputy Dean on Academic				
Marchenko Dmytro	Affairs Faculty of Engineering and Energy				
Hanahanka Daman	Candidate of Technical Sciences, specialty 05.22.20 - operation and				
Harchenko Roman	repair of vehicles.				
Dalai Critlana	Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Economics and Security				
<u>Belei Svitlana</u>	of Enterprise				
Lidiya Parashchuk	PhD in specialty 05.17.11 "Technology of refractory non-metallic				
	materials"				
Kanyovska Lyudmila					
<u>Volodymyrivna</u>	Associate Professor of the Department of Internal Medicine				
volouyiliyilvila					
Levon Mariia	Candidate of Medical Sciences, Associate Professor, Scientific				
	direction - morphology of the human digestive system				
<u>Hubal Halyna</u>	Ph.D. in Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Associate Professor				
<u>Mykolaivna</u>	The interview of the manifold of the solution				

MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE

68.	Kushnir V., Zelikova K.	322
	CAUSATIVE AGENTS OF UTERINE FIBROIDS	
69.	Shliakhova N., Turchina S.	324
	LEVELS OF C-REACTIVE PROTEIN IN ADOLESCENT BOYS WITH IMPAIRED PUBERTY	
70.	Syvolap D.	328
	WHETHER TO EXPECT ADDITIONAL POSITIVE CHANGES FOLLOWING GALLSTONE REMOVAL IN THE MOTOR- EVACUATORY FUNCTION OF THE GALLBLADDER WITH INITIALLY SATISFACTORY EJECTION FRACTION BEFORE CHOLECYSTOLITHOTOMY	
71.	Tashchuk V., Polianska O., Malinevska-Biliichuk O.	331
	MARKERS OF ECG DIGITALIZATION AND EJECTION FRACTION - ANALYSIS OF RANOLAZINE EFFICIENCY AND OPTIMIZATION OF ST SEGMENT ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION TREATMENT	
72.	Ілащук Т.О., Чобану Я.В.	333
	ОСОБЛИВОСТІ КЛІНІЧНОГО ПЕРЕБІГУ ХОЗЛ У ХВОРИХ З ІХС	
73.	Аскарьянц В.П., Турдиева З.А., Абдурашитова З.А., Эргашева М.Ш.	335
	К ВОПРОСУ О ФУНКЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ И НЕРВНОЙ РЕГУЛЯЦИИ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ ОРГАНОВ ПИЩЕВАРЕНИЯ	
74.	Басюга І.О., Пахаренко Л.В., Жураківський В.М., Ласитчук О.М., Моцюк Ю.Б.	339
	ВАГІТНІСТЬ, ЩО ПЕРЕСТАЛА РОЗВИВАТИСЬ. МЕДИЧНІ АСПЕКТИ	
75.	Геник Н.І., Перхулин О.М., Жукуляк О.М., Лесів М.І., Бігун Р.В.	342
	СПІВСТАВЛЕННЯ СТРУКТУРНИХ ЗМІН РЕЧОВИНИ ГОЛОВНОГО МОЗКУ З ПОКАЗНИКАМИ КОГНІТИВНИХ ВИКЛИКАНИХ ПОТЕНЦІАЛІВ У ХВОРИХ НА ГІПЕРТОНІЧНУ ХВОРОБУ, ГІПОТИРЕОЗ	

MEDICAL SCIENCES MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE

WHETHER TO EXPECT ADDITIONAL POSITIVE CHANGES FOLLOWING GALLSTONE REMOVAL IN THE MOTOR-EVACUATORY FUNCTION OF THE GALLBLADDER WITH INITIALLY SATISFACTORY EJECTION FRACTION BEFORE CHOLECYSTOLITHOTOMY

Syvolap Dmitry,

Ph.D., Associate Professor Zaporozhye State Medical University

Key words: asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis, motor-evacuatory function of the gallbladder, laparoscopic cholecystolithotomy

Impaired motor function of the gallbladder is considered by leading scientists as one of the pathogenetic mechanisms of gallstone formation [3]. Absolute priority of the gallbladder motor-evacuatory function assessment has been shown as to the choice of further surgical tactics for asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis treatment. Most experts tend to make a decision not to remove the gallbladder, but to preserve the organ, in patients with asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis and emptying function ranging from 40% to 80% of the initial gallbladder volume. Additional sonographic criteria for the advisability and feasibility of laparoscopic cholecystolithotomy are as follows: single stones with a diameter of 0.5 - 2.0 cm, easily movable in the gallbladder cavity; gallbladder dimensions - length of not more than 80 mm, width of not more than 40 mm; gallbladder wall thickness 1-2 mm; gallbladder volume up to 70 cm³. Compliance with the above selection criteria helps to avoid the development of intra- and postoperative complications, enables improved short- and long-term results of surgical treatment for asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis prevents recurrence rate of gallstones as well as after cholecystolithotomy [1].

However, the question remains uncertain, whether to expect additional positive changes following gallstone removal in the motor-evacuatory function of the gallbladder with initially satisfactory ejection fraction before cholecystolithotomy.

The aim was to study the changes in gallbladder ejection fraction in patients with asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis before and after laparoscopic cholecystolithotomy.

Material and methods. A total of 33 patients with asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis were enrolled in the study after signing an informed consent to participate. The vast majority of patients were females (82%, n = 27), aged from 23 to 54 years, with a mean age of 48 ± 11.9 years, the remaining 18% were males (n = 6), aged from 25 to 58 years, with a mean age of 46.2 ± 12.4 years. All the patients underwent abdominal ultrasound examination before and after laparoscopic cholecystolithotomy on devices "Philips" No

MEDICAL SCIENCES MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE

MA2540R. Sonographic measurements of the gallbladder shape, size, contours, wall thickness were performed. The number and size of gallstones as well as motor-evacuatory gallbladder function were assessed. The smallest volume of the gallbladder was considered as the residual volume (GB-RV). The gallbladder ejection fraction (GB-EF) was calculated by the formula: GB-EF = (1-GB-RV [ml] / GB-NV [ml]) x 100%, where GB-EF is the gallbladder ejection fraction, GB-NV - gallbladder native volume, GB-RV - gallbladder residual volume. Normal gallbladder volume was considered within 50-70 ml, normal postprandial gallbladder emptying - at least 50%, maximum gallbladder volume return in about 1.5-2 hours after contraction [2]. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using the software package STATISTICA version 6.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK., U.S.A.).

Results of the study. Based on the ultrasound and general clinical examination findings, all the patients were diagnosed with asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis without any signs of acute inflammation. The number of gallstones and morphological changes in the gallbladder walls were comparable: 90.9% (n = 30) patients had 1 stone; 9.1% (n = 3) - 2-3 stones. None of the patients had more than 3 stones in the gallbladder cavity. Gallbladder wall thickness did not exceed 2 mm in 78.8% (n = 26) of patients, and 2-3 mm in 21.2% (n = 7) of patients.

Dynamic ultrasound examination of the hepatobiliary system showed significantly improved motor-evacuatory gallbladder function in the postoperative period as compared to preoperative values (Tables 1, 2).

Indicator,	Fasting,	Meal-	р	Fasting,	Meal-	р
unit of	n=33	stimulated		n=33	stimulated,	
measure		, n=33			n=33	
	Before surgery		After surgery			
Gallbladder	73,0±2,3	$70,8\pm\!\!2,\!\!2$	0,0001	73,7±1,8	71,1 ±2,0	0,0001
length, mm						
Gallbladder	29,2±1,0	20,0±0,9	0,0001	29,1±1,0	17,6±0,7	0,0001
width, mm						
Gallbladder	32,5±2,2	$14,8\pm1,3$	0,0001	32,6±2,1	11,6±0,9	0,0001
volume, ml						

Table 1. Linear and volumetric parameters of the gallbladder in cholecystolithiasis patients before and after cholecystolithotomy, $(M \pm SD)$

MEDICAL SCIENCES MODERN PROBLEMS IN SCIENCE

Table 2. Gallbladder ejection fraction and duration of "latent period" before and after cholecystolithotomy

	Before	After	р
Indicator, unit of	cholecystolithotomy,	cholecystolithotomy,	
measure	n=33	n=33	
Gallbladder ejection	54,5±2,1	64,4±2,9	0,0001
fraction, %	J4,J±2,1	04,4±2,9	0,0001
Latent period, min	15,6±2,5	8,3±1,7	0,001

A significant increase in the gallbladder ejection fraction from $54.5 \pm 2.1\%$ to $64.4 \pm 2.9\%$ (p = 0.0001) and a shorter duration of the "latency period" from 15.6 ± 2.5 min to 8, 3 ± 1.7 min (p = 0.001) were revealed.

The obtained results were indicative of the improved motor-evacuatory gallbladder function in patients with asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis and an initial gallbladder ejection fraction of over 50% after laparoscopic organ-sparing surgery - cholecystolithotomy. Gallstone removal contributed to an additional almost 10 percentage point increase in the gallbladder ejection fraction.

Conclusions.

1. All patients with asymptomatic cholecystolithiasis (number of gallstones within 1-3 with the maximum diameter up to 2 cm) should undergo preoperative sonographic assessment of gallbladder motor-evacuatory function when planning surgical procedures.

2. Laparoscopic cholecystolithotomy is not only possible, but also advisable in case of preoperative gallbladder ejection fraction values of over 50%, since it allows the organ to be preserved with additional significant positive changes in the motor-evacuatory function after gallstone removal.

References

1. Babiy A., Shevchenko B., Shularenko N. Assessment of efficiency of organpreserving operation at uncomplicated cholecystostolithiasis (2014). GISAP: Medical Science, Pharmacology, 3 (March), 18-21.

2. Irshad A., Ackerman J. S., Spicer K., Baker N., Campbell A., Anis M., Shazly M. (2011) Ultrasound Evaluation of Gallbladder Dyskinesia: Comparison of Scintigraphy and Dynamic 3D and 4D Ultrasound Techniques American Journal of Roentgenology 197:5, 1103-11100096-3

3. Shabanzadeh D.M., Sorensen L.T., Jorgensen T. (2016) Determinants for gallstone formation – a new data cohort study and a systematic review with meta-analysis. Scand J Gastroenterol; 51:1239–1248.